Continuing Ed workshop by Richard Skeirik, PE

Pluralistic Utilitarianism Exercise – Potential Conflict of Interest

You are a full time DuPont Engineering consultant, and work with a DuPont business with 3 newer plants and one older one. DuPont does not offer professional engineering services to the general public. (Look at the DPEA excerpts below.) The older plant is sold to Acme chemicals. You continue your work with the 3 DuPont plants.

The Acme plant, now a competitor of the DuPont plants, calls you at home and asks if you want to provide small amount of expert analysis and recommendation in your spare time. You're not sure what to do. The last time an engineer in your organization did such a thing, they were shunted off to a dead end job that stopped their career and income progression, and you expect the same treatment.

Make a pluralistic utilitarian analysis using the factors given below. You could add others if they seem important to you.

Part 1.

These are factors in the outcome of your decision that probably affect the overall good coming from your decision. Assign a number between -100 and 100 that reflects the relative good/bad of each IF it happens. At this stage don't worry about whether it happens, just how relatively good or bad it is among the others. Use positive for factors that bring good, and negative for factors that reduce good (or have a bad effect). (e.g. if you really need money and really want to become an independent consultant, the first two would be close to +100. If you don't care about a change of pace, that would be near zero. If you are already exhausted from work and home and family responsibilities, then overwork would be very bad and thus close to -100)

Factor in the outcome	Rel Good
You get additional income	
You build basis for possible future	
as indep Consultant	
You get an exciting change of	
pace	
You become overworked from	
evening/weekend work for Acme	
You lose focus energy for your	
DuPont work	
You might disclose trade secret	
information (Remember the	
plants use different processes)	
You might "short" a decision	
made for DuPont to benefit Acme	
You are troubled by keeping a	
secret from DuPont	
You realize your decision violates	
the DAPE Code of Ethics	

Continuing Ed workshop by Richard Skeirik, PE

to a lousy ness ed loyalty.
ness

First, consider the choice that you take the work and don't tell DuPont. If an outcome happens, put the numeric value you assigned above into the right hand column. If it doesn't happen, put zero.

Factor in the outcome	Does it	Rel Good
	happen?	
You get additional income		
You build basis for possible future		
as indep Consultant		
You get an exciting change of		
pace		
You become overworked from		
evening/weekend work for Acme		
You lose focus energy for your		
DuPont work		
You might disclose trade secret		
information (Remember the		
plants use different processes)		
You might "short" a decision		
made for DuPont to benefit Acme		
You are troubled by keeping a		
secret from DuPont		
You realize your decision violates		
the DAPE Code of Ethics		
DuPont transfers you to a lousy		
job in a dead-end business		
because of your divided loyalty.		
Sum of good from this choice		
Take and don't disclose		

Second, consider the choice that you take the work you do tell DuPont

Factor in the outcome	Does it happen?	Rel Good
You get additional income		
You build basis for possible future		

Continuing Ed workshop by Richard Skeirik, PE

		1
as indep Consultant		
You get an exciting change of		
pace		
You become overworked from		
evening/weekend work for Acme		
You lose focus energy for your		
DuPont work		
You might disclose trade secret		
information (Remember the		
plants use different processes)		
You might "short" a decision		
made for DuPont to benefit Acme		
You are troubled by keeping a		
secret from DuPont		
You realize your decision violates		
the DAPE Code of Ethics		
DuPont transfers you to a lousy	Yes	
job in a dead-end business		
because of your divided loyalty.		
Sum of good from this choice		
Take and Tell DuPont		

Now consider the choice that you turn the work down.

Factor in the outcome	Does it happen?	Rel Good
You get additional income		
You build basis for possible future		
as indep Consultant		
You get an exciting change of		
pace		
You become overworked from		
evening/weekend work for Acme		
You lose focus energy for your		
DuPont work		
You might disclose trade secret		
information (Remember the		
plants use different processes)		
You might "short" a decision		
made for DuPont to benefit Acme		

Continuing Ed workshop by Richard Skeirik, PE

You are troubled by keeping a	
secret from DuPont	
You realize your decision violates	
the DAPE Code of Ethics	
DuPont transfers you to a lousy	
job in a dead-end business	
because of your divided loyalty.	
Sum of good from this choice	
Turn down the consulting offer	

Which choice is the ethically right choice according to pluralistic utilitarianism?

Does this process feel "right" to you?

The DAPE Code of Ethics says nothing about personal considerations, but we included them in this analysis. Which do you think is better: including personal factors, or just considering the "professional" impact?

Title 24 Chapter 28 §2803. 25. 'Practice of engineering' or 'to practice engineering' includes any [dotting is my own]

- professional service such as consultation, investigation, evaluation, planning, design, or responsible supervision of construction or operation
- performed for the general public
- in connection with any public or private buildings, structures, utilities, machines, equipment, processes, works, or projects
- wherein the public welfare or the safeguarding of life, health or property is concerned or involved when
- such professional service requires the application of engineering principles and data,

but it does not include

- the work ordinarily performed by persons who operate or maintain machinery or equipment, neither does it include
- engineering services performed by an employee of a firm or corporation that does not offer professional engineering services to the general public.

Title 24 Chapter 28 §2816. Code of Ethics.

- (a) The Council shall prepare and publish a code of ethics designed for the protection of the public.
- (b) All applicants, members, associate members, affiliate members, adjunct members, holders of certificate of authorization and permittees must subscribe to and follow this code of ethics in the practice of professional engineering,
- or in seeking to register as a professional engineer or seeking certification as an Engineer Intern.
- (c) The Code of Ethics is available on the Delaware Association of Professional Engineers' website.

•